

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAWSON M. ROUSE

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-CR-00107

PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the United States of America, by its attorneys, Nicholas W. Chase, Acting United States Attorney for the District of North Dakota, and Gary L. Delorme, Assistant United States Attorney; Defendant, Dawson M. Rouse; and Defendant's counsel, Michael Hoffman, agree to the following:

1. Defendant acknowledges the Information charges violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(2) and 2422(b).
2. Defendant has read the charges and Defendant's attorney has fully explained the charges to Defendant.
3. Defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the charged crimes.
4. Defendant will voluntarily plead guilty to One through Twenty-One of the Information and admit to forfeiture allegation. In addition, the Defendant, pursuant to Rule 7(b), will waive charging by Indictment in open court at the time of the change of plea hearing.
5. The parties agree this Plea Agreement shall be filed as part of the Court record and be governed by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c). The parties

specifically agree that Rule 11(c)(1)(C) does not apply. If the United States makes the non-binding recommendations specified in this Plea Agreement, then Defendant acknowledges that this agreement will have been fulfilled. Except as provided in Rule 11(c)(5), the Court's refusal to accept any or all terms of the Plea Agreement does not give Defendant a right to withdraw Defendant's guilty plea.

6. Defendant will plead guilty because Defendant is in fact guilty of the charges. In pleading guilty to One through Twenty-One, Defendant acknowledges that:

COUNT ONE

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about January 2020 to in or about May 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce E.V., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT TWO

Attempted Receipt of Images Depicting the Sexual Exploitation of a Minor

From in or about January 2020 to in or about May 2020, in the District of North Dakota, the defendant knowingly attempted to receive visual depictions of M.G. using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, specifically, the internet, the production of which visual depictions involved the use of minors engaging in sexually

explicit conduct and which depictions were of such conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(2) and 2252(b)(1).

COUNT THREE

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about March 2020 to in or about May 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce A.D., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT FOUR

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about December 2019 to in or about May 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce R.J., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT FIVE

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

In or about April 2019, in the District of North Dakota, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services,

to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce A.B., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT SIX

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about March 2019 to in or about September 2019, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce M.R., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT SEVEN

Attempted Receipt of Images Depicting the Sexual Exploitation of a Minor

From in or about February 2018 to in or about June 2018, in the District of North Dakota, the defendant knowingly attempted to receive visual depictions of B.T. using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, specifically, the internet, the production of which visual depictions involved the use of minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct and which depictions were of such conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(2) and 2252(b)(1).

COUNT EIGHT

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about January 2019 to in or about December 2019, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce L.W., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT NINE

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about December 2019 to in or about May 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly persuade, induce, entice, and coerce J.T., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT TEN

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about January 2020 to in or about April 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce C.L., a minor female who had not attained the age of

18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT ELEVEN

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about December 2019 to in or about May 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce D.B., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT TWELVE

Attempted Receipt of Images Depicting the Sexual Exploitation of a Minor

From in or about September 2018 to in or about May 2020, in the District of North Dakota, the defendant knowingly attempted to receive visual depictions of G.L. using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, specifically, the internet, the production of which visual depictions involved the use of minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct and which depictions were of such conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(2) and 2252(b)(1).

COUNT THIRTEEN

Attempted Receipt of Images Depicting the Sexual Exploitation of a Minor

From in or about January 2018 to in or about December 2018, in the District of North Dakota, the defendant knowingly attempted to receive visual depictions of C.S.

using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, specifically, the internet, the production of which visual depictions involved the use of minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct and which depictions were of such conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(2) and 2252(b)(1).

COUNT FOURTEEN

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about March 2020 to in or about April 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce P.V., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT FIFTEEN

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about April 2019 to in or about April 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce A.S., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT SIXTEEN

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

In or about April 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce A.J.M., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT SEVENTEEN

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about June 2019 to in or about April 2020, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce R.P., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT EIGHTEEN

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about July 2019 to in or about September 2019, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce A.J., a minor female who had not attained the age of

18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT NINETEEN

Attempted Receipt of Images Depicting the Sexual Exploitation of Children

From in or about April 2019 to in or about June 2019, in the District of North Dakota, the defendant knowingly attempted to receive visual depictions of S.S. using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, specifically, the internet, the production of which visual depictions involved the use of minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct and which depictions were of such conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(2) and 2252(b)(1).

COUNT TWENTY

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor to Engage in Unlawful Sexual Activity

From in or about November 2019 to in or about December 2019, in the District of North Dakota, and elsewhere, the defendant did use a facility of interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, Snapchat, and other internet services, to knowingly attempt to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce E.A., a minor female who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT TWENTY-ONE

Receipt of Images Depicting the Sexual Exploitation of Children

From in or about February 2019 to in or about May 2019, in the District of North Dakota, the defendant knowingly received, and attempted to receive, visual depictions of

K.B. using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, specifically, the internet, the production of which visual depictions involved the use of minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct and which depictions were of such conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(2) and 2252(b)(1).

7. Defendant understands the following maximum penalties apply:

Counts One, Three, Four, Five, Six, Eight, Nine, Ten, Eleven, Fourteen, Fifteen, Sixteen, Seventeen, Eighteen, and Twenty

Imprisonment:	10 years minimum/up to life term
Fine:	\$250,000
Supervised Release:	5 years minimum/up to life term
Special Assessment:	\$1,500 (\$100 per count)

Count Two, Seven, Twelve, Thirteen, Nineteen, and Twenty-One

Imprisonment:	5 years minimum/up to 20 years
Fine:	\$250,000
Supervised Release:	5 years minimum/up to life term
Special Assessment:	\$600 (\$100 per count)

Assessments and Mandatory Restitution

8. Defendant further understands that the crimes to which he is pleading guilty carry the following assessments and mandatory restitution:

(a) An assessment of \$100.00 per count under 18 U.S.C. § 3013. Defendant agrees to pay the Clerk of the United States District Court this assessment on or before the day of sentencing.

~~(b) An assessment of \$5,000.00 per count under 18 U.S.C. § 3014;~~

6/21/21
MTH
12-2-21

(c) An assessment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2259A, per count of no more than:

(ii) \$35,000.00 (Counts Two, Seven, Twelve, Thirteen, Nineteen, and Twenty-One) for any other trafficking in child pornography offense as defined by § 2259(c)(3), which includes offenses under 18 U.S.C. §§ 2251(d), 2252, 2252A(a)(1) through (5), 2252A(g) (in cases in which the series of felony

violations exclusively involves violations of sections 2251(d), 2252, 2252A(a)(1) through (5), or 2260(b)), or 2260(b);

9. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty Defendant surrenders rights, including:

(a) The right to a speedy public jury trial and related rights as follow:

(i) A jury would be composed of twelve (12) lay persons selected at random. Defendant and Defendant's attorney would help choose the jurors by removing prospective jurors "for cause," where actual bias or other disqualification is shown; or by removing jurors without cause by exercising so-called peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict. The jury would be instructed that Defendant is presumed innocent and that it could not return a guilty verdict unless it found Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

(ii) If a trial were held without a jury, then the Judge would find the facts and determine whether Defendant was guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

(iii) At a trial, whether by a jury or Judge, the United States is required to present witness testimony and other evidence against Defendant. Defendant's attorney can confront and examine them. In turn, the defense can present witness testimony and other evidence. If witnesses for Defendant refuse to appear voluntarily, Defendant can require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court.

(iv) At trial, Defendant has a privilege against self-incrimination; thus, Defendant can decline to testify. No inference of guilt can be drawn from Defendant's refusal to testify. Defendant can choose to testify, but cannot be required to testify.

(b) Defendant has a right to remain silent. However, under terms of the Plea Agreement, the Judge will likely ask Defendant questions about Defendant's criminal conduct to ensure that there is a factual basis for Defendant's plea.

10. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty Defendant is giving up all of the rights set forth in the prior paragraph, and there will be no trial. Defendant's attorney has explained those rights, and consequences of Defendant's waiver.

11. The Court shall impose a sentence sufficient to comply with purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act. In doing so, the Court shall consider factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and must consult and take into account the United States' Sentencing Commission, Guidelines Manual, (Nov. 2018) (USSG). Defendant understands that the United States Attorney's Office will fully apprise the District Court and the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office of the nature, scope, and extent of Defendant's conduct, including all matters in aggravation and mitigation relevant to the issue of sentencing. The United States expressly reserves the right to appeal from an unreasonable sentence.

12. This Plea Agreement is binding only upon the United States Attorney for the District of North Dakota. It does not bind any United States Attorney outside the District of North Dakota, nor does it bind any state or local prosecutor. They remain free

to prosecute Defendant for any offenses under their jurisdiction. This Plea Agreement also does not bar or compromise any civil or administrative claim.

13. Defendant understands the United States Attorney reserves the right to notify any local, state, or federal agency by whom Defendant is licensed, or with whom Defendant does business, of Defendant's conviction.

14. The parties agree that the base offense level under the Sentencing Guidelines for Defendant's conduct is 28. (USSG § 2G1.3).

15. The parties agree that the following upward adjustments may be applicable in this case:

- +2, knowing misrepresentation and undue influence. (USSG § 2G1.3(B)(2));
- +2, use of a computer (smartphone). (USSG § 2G1.3(B)(3)); and
- +2, commission of a sex act. (USSG § 2G1.3(B)(4)); and
- +21, multiple victims not grouped (See USSG 2G1.3(d)(1) and Chapter 3, Part D).

16. The parties agree to the forfeiture of the items included in the Forfeiture Allegation of the Indictment.

17. At sentencing, United States agrees to recommend a 2-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, provided Defendant has demonstrated a genuine acceptance of responsibility. (USSG § 3E1.1(a)). The United States further agrees to move for an additional 1-level downward adjustment for timely notifying the

United States of Defendant's intention to enter a guilty plea, thus permitting the Court and the United States to allocate their resources efficiently. (USSG § 3E1.1(b)).

18. Neither the Court nor the Probation Office is a party to the Plea Agreement. Neither the Court nor the Probation Office is bound by the Plea Agreement as to determining the Sentencing Guideline range. The Court may depart from the applicable guidelines range if the Court, on the record, states factors not contemplated by the Sentencing Guidelines' Commission to justify the departure. Both parties reserve the right to object to any departure. See USSG § 1B1.1, comment. (n.1) (defines "departure"). There may be other adjustments the parties have not agreed upon.

19. At sentencing, the United States will:

- (a) Recommend a sentence within the applicable Guideline range, or the minimum-mandatory sentence, whichever is greater;
- (b) Recommend that Defendant be ordered to pay restitution as determined by the Court at the sentencing hearing;
- (c) Recommend a 25-year term of supervised release; and
- (d) Move to dismiss the remaining counts of the Indictment.

The defendant is free to recommend any sentence at or above the mandatory minimums and may further recommend any term of supervision at or above the mandatory minimum term.

20. Defendant acknowledges and understands that if Defendant violates any term of this Plea Agreement, engages in any further criminal activity, or fails to appear for sentencing, the United States will be released from its commitments. In that event,

this Plea Agreement shall become null and void at the discretion of the United States, and Defendant will face the following consequences: (1) all testimony and other information Defendant has provided at any time to attorneys, employees, or law enforcement officers of the government, to the Court, or to the Federal Grand Jury, may be used against Defendant in any prosecution or proceeding; and (2) the United States will be entitled to reinstate previously dismissed charges and/or pursue additional charges against Defendant and to use any information obtained directly or indirectly from Defendant in those additional prosecutions. Nothing in this agreement prevents the United States from prosecuting Defendant for perjury, false statements, or false declarations, if Defendant commits such acts in connection with this agreement or otherwise.

21. Defendant acknowledges the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2259 and 3663A, which require the Court to order restitution. Defendant agrees to pay restitution as may be ordered by the Court. Defendant acknowledges and agrees that the Court will order Defendant to make restitution for all loss caused by Defendant's conduct, regardless of whether counts of the Indictment will be dismissed as part of this Plea Agreement. Defendant further agrees to grant the United States a wage assignment, liquidate assets, or complete any other tasks the Court finds reasonable and appropriate for the prompt payment of any restitution or fine ordered by the Court.

22. The United States will file a Supplement in this case, as is routinely done in every case, even though there may or may not be any additional terms. Defendant and Defendant's attorney acknowledge that no threats, promises, or representations exist beyond the terms of this Plea Agreement.

23. **Defendant's Waiver of Appeal.** Defendant acknowledges having been advised by counsel of Defendant's rights to appeal the conviction or sentence in this case, including the appeal right conferred by 18 U.S.C. § 3742, and to challenge the conviction or sentence collaterally through post-conviction proceedings, including proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Defendant understands these rights, and in exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this plea agreement, Defendant hereby knowingly and voluntarily waives these rights, except as specifically reserved herein. Defendant's waiver of these rights includes, but is not limited to, a waiver of all rights to appeal or to collaterally attack: Defendant's conviction or sentence; all non-jurisdictional issues; any assessment, restitution or forfeiture order; the constitutionality of the applicable guidelines; and the constitutionality of the statute(s) to which Defendant is pleading guilty or under which Defendant is sentenced, or to argue that the admitted conduct does not fall within the scope of the statute(s). Defendant reserves the right to appeal a sentence of imprisonment imposed above the upper end of the applicable guidelines range and the right to appeal or to collaterally attack the conviction or sentence based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel that challenges the validity of the guilty plea or this waiver.

24. By signing this Plea Agreement, Defendant further specifically waives Defendant's right to seek to withdraw Defendant's plea of guilty, pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 11(d), once the plea has been entered in accordance with this agreement. The appellate court will enforce such waivers. Defendant agrees that any

attempt to withdraw Defendant's plea will be denied and any appeal of such denial should be dismissed.

25. Sex Offender Registration - Megan's Law/Adam Walsh Act Notice:

Through execution of this Plea Agreement, Defendant acknowledges that he has been advised, and understands, that pursuant to the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act, a federal law, he must register as a sexual offender and keep such registration current in each of the following jurisdictions: where he resides, where he is employed, and where he is a student. Defendant understands that the requirements for registration include providing his name, his residence address, and the names and addresses of any places where he is or will be an employee or student, among other information.

Defendant further understands that the requirement to keep the registration current includes informing at least one jurisdiction in which he resides, is employed, or is a student not later than three (3) business days after any change of name, residence, employment, or student status. Defendant acknowledges that he has been advised and understands that failure to comply with these obligations subjects him to prosecution for failure to register under federal law, 18 U.S.C. § 2250, which is punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both.

26. The parties further agree that Defendant will forfeit all rights and interest to the property set forth in the Forfeiture Allegation of the Indictment.

27. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he will be convicted, and that any individual convicted who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the

United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future. Defendant's attorney has explained this consequence of his guilty plea.


28. The Assistant United States Attorney and attorney for Defendant agree to abide by the provisions of Rule 32(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The attorneys acknowledge their obligation to use good-faith efforts to resolve any disputes regarding the Presentence Investigation Report (PSIR) through a presentence conference or other informal procedures.

29. Defendant acknowledges reading and understanding all provisions of the Plea Agreement. Defendant and Defendant's attorney have discussed the case and reviewed the Plea Agreement. They have discussed Defendant's constitutional and other rights, including, but not limited to, Defendant's plea-statement rights under Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.


AGREED:

NICHOLAS W. CHASE
Acting United States Attorney

Dated: 12/3/2021


By: GARY L. DELORME
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: 11/29/2021


DAWSON M. ROUSE
Defendant

Dated: 12-2-2021


MICHAEL HOFFMAN
Attorney for Defendant